2005 - 2006 Unified Planning Work Program AMENDMENT

to the 2004 - 2006 Unified Planning Work Program

for the

Syracuse Metropolitan Transportation Council



2005-2006 Unified Planning Work Program AMENDMENT

to the 2004-2006 Unified Planning Work Program

For Transportation Planning in the Syracuse Metropolitan Planning Area

This document is an Amendment to the 2004-2006 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) that was approved by the SMTC Policy Committee on March 3, 2004. Included within this Amendment are only the pages that have been modified and/or added. Please refer to the Budget Tables on Revised Pages 68-73 for current estimated budgets and fund sources.

Approved by the Policy Committee of the Syracuse Metropolitan Transportation Council

March 14, 2005

This document was prepared with financial assistance from the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration of the U.S. Department of Transportation through the New York State Department of Transportation.

Approval of the 2005-2006 UPWP AMENDMENT

SMTC Policy Resolution 2005-06

RESOLUTION

SYRACUSE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COUNCIL POLICY COMMITTEE

March 14, 2005

- **WHEREAS**, In order to promote a coordinated, continuous and comprehensive transportation planning process in the Syracuse Metropolitan Area, it has been found necessary to develop an annual Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP); and
- WHEREAS, the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) has expanded the role of Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO) and requirements for intermodal transportation planning and has committed the funds for such planning activities; and
- **WHEREAS**, the SMTC Planning Committee has worked with the assistance of the Central Staff to develop a recommended UPWP so that the latest draft submitted herewith represents an accurate description of work to be undertaken and funds to be made available; and
- WHEREAS, in recognition of the need to promote energy conservation and overall efficiency of the existing transportation system, the UPWP pursues work on several projects including (1) the Long-Range Transportation Plan, (2) the Transportation Improvement Program, and (3) the Congestion Management System; and
- WHEREAS, in cooperation with the New York State Departments of Transportation (NYSDOT) and Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), and in accordance with the revised New York State Implementation Plan for Air Quality, the Policy Committee continues to accept its responsibility for air quality planning during 2005-2006 for the Syracuse area; and
- **WHEREAS**, the Policy Committee is committed to assuring equal opportunity to all persons in the planning of transportation services and facilities and will, during 2005-2006, complete an annual Title VI update as well as maintain expanded public participation activities; and
- WHEREAS, in order to support these and other elements of the 2005-2006 Unified Planning Work Program Amendment, the Policy Committee continues its designation of the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) to be the grant applicant on behalf of the SMTC. The NYSDOT will apply for necessary regular program funding under the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5303 program, under the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) "PL" transportation planning program and "SPR" program, and under the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) aviation planning in amounts consistent with this approved UPWP. It is also understood that unspent funds approved during previous years under the FTA Section 5303 (formerly Section 8) and FAA planning programs will be made available for expenditure during 2005-2006.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Policy Committee approves the 2005-2006 Unified Planning Work Program and the submission thereof to the appropriate Federal and State agencies and directs the filing of appropriate applications to support the program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Policy Committee authorizes the Planning Committee to make revisions and refinements in funding or responsibility to the UPWP as found necessary both to finalize and implement the UPWP consistent with its overall scale and program emphasis.

Done and Ordered this 14th day of March 2005 by consensus of the SMTC Policy Committee.

le a. Swatte.

Dale A. Sweetland Chairperson SMTC Policy Committee

Carl F. Ford Secretary SMTC Policy Committee

March 14, 2005

March 14, 2005

Date

Date

2005-2006 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM OVERVIEW

The 2005-2006 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) is based on SMTC's 2020 Long-Range Transportation Plan, updated and approved by the SMTC Policy Committee on June 30, 2004. The goals for this program year are threefold:

| Goal #1: | To complete outstanding UPWP tasks |
|----------|--|
| Goal #2: | To commence and complete new 2005-2006 UPWP tasks |
| Goal #3: | To proceed with existing and proposed recurring activities |

<u>Goal #1</u>

In order to attain Goal #1, the following specific projects will be continued:

- Functional Classification System Review
- Northern MPA Planning
- Travel Demand Modeling
- University Hill Comprehensive Transportation Study

<u>Goal #2</u>

Goal #2 will be achieved by completing the following new 2005-2006 tasks:

- Clay/Cicero Route 31 Transportation Study
- CSX Intermodal Transportation Study
- F-M Road/Route 257 Sidewalk Feasibility Study
- Waste Collection Route Optimization Study

<u>Goal #3</u>

The tasks below make up the remainder of the program and are either required, necessary or annual activities.

- General Administration
- Professional Services
- Public Participation
- UPWP Previous Year Closeouts
- UPWP Maintenance and Development
- Census Data Compilation and/or Analysis
- Data Collection, Compilation and/or Analysis
- Geographic Information Systems
- Air Quality, Conformity and Energy
- Air/Water Planning
- Bicycle/Pedestrian Planning

- Bridge & Pavement Condition Management System
- Congestion Management System
- Environmental Justice
- I-90 Corridor Planning
- Lakefront Area Planning
- Long-Range Transportation Plan
- Operations and Intergration
- Rail, Truck and Transit Planning
- Traffic Safety
- Transportation-Land Use Educational Outreach
- TIP Development and Maintenance
- Miscellaneous Activities & Special Technical Assistance

Some of these tasks result in an annual/biannual report that is reviewed and approved by the appropriate SMTC Committee(s), while others are simply a required or necessary aspect of the MPO planning process.

2005-2006 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM PROGRAM OUTLINE

THIS TABLE IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE PROPOSED BUDGETS ARE ESTIMATES AND ARE SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION.

| Fask # | Task Description | Project Total | Operating Expense Budget | Contractual Expense Budget | Notes: |
|-----------|---|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 1. | Program Administration and Support | | | | |
| A. | General Administration | \$230,000 | \$180,000 | \$50,000 | Contractual for Host Agency Services. |
| B. | Professional Services | \$2,500 | \$0 | | Contractual for Technical Assistance as needed. |
| C. | Public Participation | \$45,000 | \$15,000 | | Contractual for Technical Assistance as needed. |
| D. | UPWP Previous Year Closeouts | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$0 | |
| E. | UPWP Maintenance and Development | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | \$0 | |
| L. | 1 | | \$20,000 | | |
| • | Total Program Administration & Support | \$302,500 | \$220,000 | \$82,500 | |
| 2. | Short-Range Transportation Planning | 60 | | ¢0 | Decised areas of Councilla and an and |
| A. | Activity Tracking System | \$0 | \$0 | | Project removed from the program. |
| B. | Census Data Compilation and/or Analysis | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$0 | |
| C. | Data Collection, Compilation and/or Analysis | \$35,000 | \$20,000 | | Contractual for Technical Assistance as needed. |
| D. | Functional Classification System Review | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | \$0 | |
| E. | Geographic Information Systems | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | \$0 | |
| | Total Short-Range Transportation Planning | \$85,000 | \$70,000 | \$15,000 | |
| 3. | Long-Range Transportation Planning | | | | |
| A. | Air Quality, Conformity & Energy | \$30,000 | \$30,000 | \$0 | |
| B. | Air & Water Planning | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$0 | |
| C. | Bicycle & Pedestrian Plan | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | Project completed within 2004-2005 Program Year. |
| D. | Bicycle & Pedestrian Planning | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | \$0 | |
| E. | Bridge & Pavement Condition Management System | \$20,000 | \$20,000 | \$0 | |
| F. | Congestion Management System | \$82,000 | \$10,000 | \$72,000 | \$80,000 Supplemental Funds from NYSMPO's. |
| G. | Environmental Justice | \$10,500 | \$10,500 | \$0 | |
| H. | I-90 Corridor Planning | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$0 | |
| I. | Lakefront Area Planning | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$0 | |
| J. | Long-Range Transportation Plan | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | \$0 | |
| К. | Northern MPA Planning | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$0 | |
| L. | Operations & Integration | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$0 | |
| M. | Rail, Truck & Transit Planning | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | \$0 | |
| N. | Safety Improvement Analysis | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | Project removed from the program. |
| О. | Title VI Report | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | Project completed within 2004-2005 Program Year. |
| P. | Transportation-Land Use Educational Outreach | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$0 | |
| Q. | Travel Demand Modeling | \$30,000 | \$30,000 | | \$60,000 Additional Supplemental TCSPP Funds. |
| R. | University Hill Transportation Study | \$192,500 | \$17,500 | * | Contractual for Technical Assistance as needed. |
| S. | Clay/Cicero Route 31 Transportation Study | \$45,000 | \$40,000 | | \$15,000 Additional Supplemental Local Funds. |
| <u>т.</u> | CSX Intermodal Transportation Study | \$82,500 | \$7,500 | | Contractual for Technical Assistance as needed. |
| U. | F-M Road / Route 257 Sidewalk Feasibility Study | \$40,000 | \$20,000 | | Contractual for Technical Assistance as needed. |
| V. | Traffic Safety | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | \$20,000 | |
| W. | Waste Collection Route Optimization Study | \$40,000 | \$40,000 | \$0 | |
| vv . | Total Long-Range Transportation Planning | | • | | |
| 4 | | \$722,500 | \$375,500 | \$422,000 | |
| 4. | Transportation Improvement Program | ¢15.000 | ê15.000 | | |
| A. | TIP Maintenance & Development | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | \$0 | |
| | Total Transportation Improvement Program | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | \$0 | |
| 5. | Other Activities | | | | |
| A. | Miscellaneous Activities | \$9,417 | \$9,417 | \$0 | |
| | Total Other Activities | \$9,417 | \$9,417 | \$0 | |
| | Grand Total Federal Funds | \$1,134,417 | \$689,917 | \$519,500 | Total Federal Funds Identified in Budget Tables |
| | Supplemental Local Funds | \$15,000 | | | |

New York State Metropolitan Planning Organizations

Shared Cost Initiatives Status

In addition to the projects included in the SMTC's program, staff will be participating with other New York State Metropolitan Planning Organizations on several Shared Cost Initiative (SCI) projects. A status report of the SCI projects is detailed below. The SMTC's federal allocation accounted for in the budget tables does not include the setaside for these SCI projects, as the allocation was previously adjusted proportionally by the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT). In addition, the SMTC FHWA allocation has also been adjusted by \$1,360.00 to account for the annual Association of Metropolitan Planning Organizations's dues.

Expenditures and Obligations of Federal Funds Only

| | | | FHWA PL SCI SET-ASIDES | | | | | | FUNDS | AVAILABLE | PROJECT | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| TASK | PROGRAM YEAR> | 1999/00 | 2000/01 | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | TOTAL | EXPENDED* | BALANCE | SPONSOR |
| NYS MPO Associ | ation Staff | \$190,000 | \$150,000 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$120,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$610,000 | \$275,317 | \$334,683 | CDTC |
| Attitudinal & Prefe | erence Survey | \$105,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$105,000 | \$105,000 | \$0 | GBNRTC |
| Long Term Fundir | ng Needs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | GTC |
| Freight Data Train | ing | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,009 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,009 | \$1,009 | \$0 | SMTC |
| Transportation & O | Community Design - Phase I | \$0 | \$0 | \$125,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$125,000 | \$44,605 | \$80,395 | CDTC |
| | Phase II | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$100,000 | |
| Statewide Data Co | ollection (high tech) - Phase I | \$0 | \$0 | \$51,542 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$51,542 | \$51,542 | \$0 | BMTS |
| | Phase II | \$0 | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$100,000 | |
| Travel Behavior Fa | actors | \$0 | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$100,000 | |
| Developing ITS In | tegration Studies | \$0 | \$0 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$150,000 | |
| GIS Training and S | Software | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Strategic Decision | Making Initiative Training | \$0 | \$0 | \$50,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$0 | NYSDOT |
| CMS Research | | \$0 | \$80,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$80,000 | \$0 | \$80,000 | SMTC |
| Staff Training | | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$100,000 | |
| Intercity Corridors | s (Joint PL\SPR) | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$100,000 | |
| | OBLIGATED | \$295,000 | \$530,000 | \$727,551 | \$0 | \$120,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,672,551 | \$527,472 | \$1,145,078 | |
| | UNOBLIGATED | \$23,721 | \$20,000 | \$22,449 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$66,170 | XXXXX | \$66,170 | |
| | TOTAL | \$318,721 | \$550,000 | \$750,000 | \$0 | \$120,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,738,721 | \$527,472 | \$1,211,248 | |

| | | | | 1 | FTA MPP SCI | SET-ASIDES | 5 | | | FUNDS | AVAILABLE | PROJECT |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| TASK PR | OGRAM YEAR> | 1999/00 | 2000/01 | 2001/02 | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | TOTAL | EXPENDED* | BALANCE | SPONSOR |
| NYS MPO Association Staff | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | CDTC |
| Attitudinal & Preference Surve | ey . | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | GBNRTC |
| Long Term Funding Needs | | \$0 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$200,000 | \$149,997 | \$50,003 | GTC |
| Freight Data Training | | \$0 | \$10,000 | \$5,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | \$0 | SMTC |
| Transportation & Community | Design - Phase I | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | CDTC |
| | Phase II | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Statewide Data Collection (hig | h tech) - Phase I | \$0 | \$50,000 | \$48,458 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$98,458 | \$86,009 | \$12,449 | BMTS |
| | Phase II | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Travel Behavior Factors | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Developing ITS Integration St | udies | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| GIS Training and Software | | \$0 | \$40,000 | \$85,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$125,000 | \$84,489 | \$40,511 | PDCTC |
| Strategic Decision Making Init | iative Training | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$17,930 | \$0 | \$0 | \$17,930 | \$17,930 | \$0 | NYSDOT |
| CMS Research | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Staff Training | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | |
| Intercity Corridors (Joint PL\S | PR) ** | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 | |
| | OBLIGATED | \$0 | \$200,000 | \$238,458 | \$0 | \$17,930 | \$0 | \$0 | \$456,388 | \$353,425 | \$102,963 | |
| | UNOBLIGATED | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | XXXXX | XXXXX | |
| | TOTAL | \$0 | \$200,000 | \$238,458 | \$0 | \$17,930 | \$0 | \$0 | \$456,388 | \$353,425 | \$102,963 | |

From Fin 421 remoursements requests received by NTSDOT through 9/22

** Assumes a joint SCI and SPR project scope is agreed to and developed.

| | Individual Project Status as of December 31, 2003. | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| NYSMPO Association Staff | Project underway, funding being expended | | | |
| Attitudinal & Preference Survey | Complete and funds expended. | | | |
| Long Term Funding Needs | Contract completed Fall 2002. The FTA \$50,000 to be reprogrammed for development of the communications strategy. | | | |
| Freight Data Training | Completed and funds expended. | | | |
| Transportation & Community Design | Phase I nearly complete. Phase II to begin subsequent to completion of Phase I. | | | |
| Statewide Data Collection | Phase II RFP under development. | | | |
| Travel Behavior Factors | Project underway with supplemental funds from FHWA as a research partner. | | | |
| Developing ITS Integration Studies | Project on hold. Consider reprogramming funds. | | | |
| GIS Training | Nearly complete. Additional training needs are being identified. | | | |
| Strategic Decision Making Initiative | Training complete. | | | |
| CMS Research | RFP to be advertised within 30 days. | | | |
| Staff Training | Needs to be discussed at Dec. 10, 2004 meeting. | | | |

UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM

FY 2005-2006

3—<u>LONG-RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING</u>

- **3A** Air Quality, Conformity & Energy
- **3B** Air & Water Planning
- **3C** Bicycle & Pedestrian Plan COMPLETED
- **3D** Bicycle & Pedestrian Planning
- **3E** Bridge & Pavement Condition Management System
- **3F** Congestion Management System
- **3G** Environmental Justice Analysis
- **3H** I-90 Corridor Planning
- **3I** Lakefront Area Planning
- **3J** Long-Range Transportation Plan
- **3K** Northern MPA Planning
- **3L Operations & Integration**
- 3M Rail, Truck & Transit Planning
- **3N** Safety Improvement Analysis REMOVED
- **30** Title VI Report COMPLETED
- **3P** Transportation-Land Use Educational Outreach
- **3Q** Travel Demand Modeling
- **3R** University Hill Comprehensive Transportation Study
- **3S** Clay/Cicero Route **31** Transportation Study NEW
- **3T** CSX Intermodal Transportation Study NEW
- **3U** F-M Road / Route **257** Sidewalk Feasibility Study NEW
- **3V** Traffic Safety NEW
- **3W**—Waste Collection Route Optimization Study NEW

UPWP TASK NO: 3D

TASK TITLE:

Bicycle/Pedestrian Planning

OBJECTIVE:

To include multi-modal transportation planning in the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) process, in order to effectively address bicycle and pedestrian transportation issues. Activities under this task will also contribute to improved air quality in the MPO area.

METHODOLOGY:

- Provide input and technical assistance from a multi-modal perspective to all SMTC transportation projects in order that bicycle and pedestrian travel are given appropriate consideration in any given SMTC project;
- Conduct data collection, identify and assess existing conditions, develop and evaluate alternatives and/or prepare recommendations as required;
- Provide staff support to multi-modal advisory committees and utilize, as appropriate, the committees as resources for providing input to specific multi-modal projects as well as multi-modal program development;
- Identify issues of concern within the multi-modal arena for which a focused substantive transportation study may be appropriate;
- Create an inventory of existing trails and develop a SMTC regional trail map via municipal outreach and coordination with appropriate entities; and
- Begin outreach to municipal entities to educate them as to the existance and utility of the SMTC's Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan in the forms of meetings, presentations and other appropriate activities.

END PRODUCT:

Maintenance of a multi-modal component in all SMTC transportation projects, and miscellaneous technical reports and memoranda.

| Project Sponsor / Participating Agencies: | Funding | Sources: |
|---|-----------------|----------|
| | <u>2004-2</u> | |
| Sponsor: SMTC | FHWA (PL) | \$17,000 |
| | FTA (Sec. 5303) | \$3,000 |
| Participating Agencies: SMTC, Other Agencies as Appropriate | Other | \$ |
| | TOTAL | \$20,000 |
| | | \$20,000 |

PROJECT NO:

3F

PROJECT TITLE:

Congestion Management System

OBJECTIVE:

To biennially perform a Congestion Management System (CMS) for various identified highways/streets within the Metropolitan Planning Area boundaries. Additionally, by way of a NYSMPO shared cost initiative, SMTC will lead a consultant effort to create a new approach to CMS in general for NYSMPOs.

METHODOLOGY:

The Syracuse Metropolitan Transportation Council (SMTC) has developed a Congestion Management System that is consistent with Federal requirements and State guidelines. In addition, it is consistent with the State's Congestion Management System. Staff has worked with local highway officials to determine the appropriate level of effort desired for voluntary CMS implementation strategies/programs. In the 2005 - 2006 UPWP cycle, staff will complete the efforts for the most recent updating of this activity as outlined in the previous years UPWP.

Additionally, the SMTC will be leading a statewide initiative with other NYSMPOs to retain a consultant to determine appropriate processes and end products that NYSMPOs can follow for subsequent efforts. The goal of this shared cost initiative is to provide much needed guidance to MPO staff on a required planning activity. For some small and medium-sized MPOs the CMS has not developed a close fit with existing planning practices. Where congestion is a marginal or absent issue, the CMS appears to offer limited benefits while consuming significant staff resources.

The purpose of this shared cost study is to seek out examples from around the country of innovative approaches to satisfying the CMS requirement in which auxiliary benefits of the tasks and products associated with a CMS can be maximized. The desired outcomes include increased awareness by MPO directors and staff members of the requirements for an MPO, information in lieu of federal guidance, and summaries of relevant best practices. The fundamental goal is for MPOs to not only meet the federal requirements but, more importantly, to obtain practical benefits from the implementation of their CMS duties.

This consultant effort will be divided into three main tasks:

Task 1: Research Relevant CMS Best Practices

Task 2: Facilitate a Peer Forum on Innovating CMS in New York

Task 3: Develop a Menu of Options for CMS Process Innovations

While the SMTC will be hosting this effort, it is expected that staff from multiple MPOs in addition to the NYSMPO Association Staff will be assisting in the completion of this effort.

END PRODUCT:

Biennial CMS report and an improved CMS process for the NYSMPOs.

| Project Sponsor / Participating Agencies: | Funding Source | es: |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| | <u>2004-2005</u> | <u>2005-2006</u> |
| Sponsor: SMTC | FHWA (PL) | \$82,000 |
| | FTA (Sec. 5303) | \$ |
| Participating Agencies: SMTC, City of Syracuse, NYSDOT, NYSTA, OCDOT, SOCPA, Other Agencies as Appropriate | Other | \$ |
| N 151A, OCDO1, SOCIA, Other Agencies as Appropriate | TOTAL | \$ 82,000 |
| | \$ | 82,000 |

PROJECT NO:

3S

PROJECT TITLE: Clay / Cicero Route 31 Transportation Study

OBJECTIVE:

To complete a transportation study for the Route 31 Corridor (and surrounding areas) in the Towns of Clay and Cicero that will examine the potential impact of transportation on the existing and future community, including land use decisions. The plan should be seamless with respect to town borders, and it should clearly state the preferred development goals and patterns.

METHODOLOGY:

The existing road network along the Route 31 Corridor could become severely strained as a result of a variety of transportation/ land use related issues such as: land use policies, an ineffective local and collector road network; limited East-West corridors; a lack of education on the relationship between land use policies and transportation; and limited incorporation of mulitmodal transportation options into the transportation systems and plans.

To complete this study a three-fold action plan should be followed:

The first step (already in progress) is the development of an educational outreach program for residents and local officials that will inform them about the role of land use planning, access management & development controls, & their influences on the transportation system. The educational outreach efforts and public participation process for this project will continue through all steps of this study.

The second step will build on existing and current comprehensive planning efforts underway at both the Towns of Clay and Cicero. These land use plans are essential inputs for the Route 31 corridor transportation study, as they examine the potential impact of land uses on the existing & future community. Once completed, the transportation study (and subsequent land use plans) should become part of the comprehensive planning process as followed by the Towns of Clay and Cicero.

The third step is the actual "Clay/Cicero Route 31 Transportation Study", which will assess the affects of proposed land use plans on the transportation network to determine which proposal will minimize impacts on the existing transportation network. The transportation study should include: 1) an examination of the current East-West road network to determine if it meets the needs of the future land use plans; 2) an examination of the operation of the existing I-81 system and access points in the study area, as they relate to the future land use plans of both towns; 3) a comprehensive examination of the interconnected local street network to determine its ability to service the future land use plan and community needs for both towns; 4) an examination of the needs of the Clay Industrial Park and various transportation solutions that may address the Park's future needs; 5) Ensuring the maintenance of an adequate road transportation system to handle the possible development of the Clay Industrial Park; and 6) Review of the existing interstate ramp system (Routes 31 and I-81).

It should be noted that the SMTC's Travel Demand Model is a tool that will be able to provide "what-if" scenario examinations of various scenarios for the Towns, minimizing additional efforts to project future conditions for the varied scenarios.

END PRODUCT:

This project is expected to be approximately 18 months in duration and will result in various Technical Memorandums and a Final Report.

| Project Sponsor / Participating Agencies: | Funding Sources: | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Sponsor: Towns of Cicero and Clay; SOCPA; and Onondaga County IDA; | 2004-2005 FHWA (PL) FTA (Sec. 5303) | 2005-2006 \$ 42,750 \$ 2,250 | | | |
| Participating Agencies: Towns of Cicero and Clay, SMTC, NYSDOT, SMTC Member Agencies, Other Agencies as Appropriate | Other TOTAL \$6 | \$ 15,000 \$ 60,000 | | | |

| PROJECT | NO: |
|----------------|-----|
|----------------|-----|

3T

PROJECT TITLE: CSX Intermodal Transportation Study

OBJECTIVE:

To complete a detailed land use and transportation plan that will provide for improved road service to the CSX intermodal facility and other commercial and industrial uses in the study area.

METHODOLOGY:

The CSX intermodal facility in the Town of DeWitt has been identified by local officials as a major resource in the community with the potential to help create a significant number of jobs through the State's inland port initiative. However, to capitalize upon this opportunity a more efficient transportation network must be developed to service this industrial / commercial area in the community. The purpose of this project is to develop a detailed engineering plan that will provide the basis for the necessary transportation improvements.

This will be a consultant based study. The consultant will be responsible for preparing an analysis/preliminary engineering program that will examine potential alignments for better intermodal connectivity between the rail yard, local road system, and the interstate system. Elements of the study will include confirmation of the project, project information and data collection, alternative development profiles, a generic environmental impact statement, a preliminary design and engineering report, and community presentations.

This project is expected to continue into the next program year with an approximate total duration of two years with additional funding being provided via the 2006-2007 UPWP.

END PRODUCT:

An engineering report that will outline the basis for a program of capital improvements to improve transportation service to the overall project area.

| Project Sponsor / Participating Agencies: | Funding Sources: | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Sponsor: CNYRPDB | <u>2004-2005</u> FHWA (PL) | <u>2005-2006</u> \$82,500 | | | |
| Participating Agencies: SMTC, CSX, Town of DeWitt, SMTC Member Agencies, Other Agencies as Appropriate | FTA (Sec. 5303) Other TOTAL | \$ 4,125 TBD \$82,500 | | | |

| PROJECT NO: | 3 U |
|--------------------|---|
| PROJECT TITLE: | F-M Road / Route 257 Sidewalk Feasability Study |

OBJECTIVE:

To complete a sidewalk feasibility study along Route 257 between the Villages of Fayetteville & Manlius.

METHODOLOGY:

The purpose of this project is to determine the feasibility of establishing sidewalks on Route 257 from the Village of Fayetteville to the Village of Manlius. Many residents use the shoulder of this road to walk, jog and ride bikes. There are also many children pedestrians as the highway runs in front of two schools.

The main activities to be included are:

- Determine probable aligments and alternatives;
- Determine costs of Right of Way aquisition;
- Determine cost of construction;
- Examinination of the effects on existing infrastructure (e.g. utility lines, fences, etc.) and natural resources (trees, foliage, etc.) and the costs/necessity of removing and/or relocating these items; and
- An effective public outreach campaign.

This project is expected to be completed in approximately 12 months.

END PRODUCT:

A report that contains reasonable cost estimates of completing this project as well as gauging local public sentiment on its appropriateness for the corridor.

| Project Sponsor / Participating Agencies: | Funding Source | es: |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| | <u>2004-2005</u> | <u>2005-2006</u> |
| Sponsor: Town of Manlius | FHWA (PL) | \$40,000 |
| | FTA (Sec. 5303) | |
| Participating Agencies: SMTC, NYSDOT, Villages of Fayetteville & | Other | |
| Manlius, SMTC Member Agencies, Other Agencies as Appropriate | TOTAL | \$40,000 |
| | \$4 | 40,000 |

| PROJECT NO: | 3V |
|-----------------------|----------------|
| PROJECT TITLE: | Traffic Safety |

OBJECTIVE:

To participate in various Traffic Safety initiatives as appropriate.

METHODOLOGY:

Intergrating safety into the transportation planning process has recently been the focus of various Federal and State initiatives and is strongly encouraged within Metropolitan Planning Organizations.

Staff has been actively involved for many years on the Onondaga County Traffic Safety Advisory Board and these efforts will be continued.

In addition, staff hopes to participate in a national course on Safe Routes to School that may be provided in New York State and hosted by the New York State Metropolitan Planning Organizations.

END PRODUCT:

Continued participation on the Onondaga County Traffic Safety Advisory Board, an increased awareness regarding various Traffic Safety issues and further integration of traffic safety into the transportation planning process.

| Project Sponsor / Participating Agencies: | Funding Source | 2S: |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| | <u>2004-2005</u> | <u>2005-2006</u> |
| Sponsor: SMTC | FHWA (PL) | \$10,000 |
| - | FTA (Sec. 5303) | |
| Participating Agencies: SMTC and Other Agencies as Appropriate | Other | |
| | TOTAL | \$10,000 |
| | \$10 | 0,000 |

| PROJECT NO: | 3W |
|-----------------------|---|
| PROJECT TITLE: | Waste Collection Route Optimization Study |

OBJECTIVE:

To evaluate the efficiency of existing waste collection routes for the City of Syracuse and propose changes if appropriate.

METHODOLOGY:

The existing waste collection routes have remained the same for over 30 years while the number of households and locations of concentrations of those residential households has changed over the years. There has been both a major reduction in population and shift in residential distribution.

This project will require at a minimum the following activities:

- Review and analysis of exisitng routes;
- Review of household density and locations;
- An understanding of the requirements for a waste collection route (duration of shifts, "return to base" requirements, etc.);
- An understanding of the limitations of routing for the City of Syracuse (one way streets, turning limitations, etc.);
- Additionally, assumptions must be made by the project sponsor about the number of trucks, drivers, and related inputs available to the planned system;
- Planning and testing (in office and in field) of alternative route designs for optimization;
- Documentation and mapping of proposed changes; and
- Other activities deemed appropriate.

END PRODUCT:

A report and maps that present an alternative optimized routing system for the City's waste collection activities.

| Project Sponsor / Participating Agencies: | Funding Sources: | | |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | <u>2004-2005</u> FHWA (PL) | <u>2005-2006</u> \$40,000 | |
| Sponsor: City of Syracuse | | \$40,000 | |
| | FTA (Sec. 5303) | | |
| Participating Agencies: SMTC, Other Agencies as Appropriate | Other | | |
| | TOTAL | \$40,000 | |
| | \$ | 40,000 | |

<u>TABLE 1</u> 2005 - 2006 SUMMARY BUDGET

| 2. Short-Range Transportation Planning S0 S10,000 S10,000 S10,000 S2,000 S3,750 S1,250 S25,000 S1,250 S25,000 S3,750 S1,250 S21,250 <th></th> <th></th> <th>TASI</th> <th>K BUDGET</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> | | | TASI | K BUDGET | | | | | | |
|---|----|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Display Total FIA Total FIA Total For an Administration Total State Natch Total Natch Total Natch Total Natch D Program Administration \$184,000 \$56,000 20% \$22,000 \$57,00 \$43,125 \$14,375 \$227,00 \$43,125 \$14,375 \$227,50 \$53,122 \$54,58 \$54,500 \$12,30 \$54,438 \$22,310 \$56,550 \$52,125 \$51,52 \$54,5500 \$52,55 \$54,600 \$52,550 \$52,550 \$52,550 \$52,550 \$52,550 \$52,550 \$52,550 \$52,550 \$52,550 \$52,550 \$52,550 \$52,550 \$52,500 \$52,550 \$52,50 | | TASK | 1 | | | FUNDI | NG SOURCE | | | |
| Careari Administration \$184,000 \$24,000 \$23,2000 \$54,225 \$14,375 \$237,500 Professional Services \$22,500 \$00 \$56,2500 \$56,693 \$156 \$31,225 C Public Participation \$23,275 \$12,250 \$56,693 \$166 \$31,225 D UPVP Previous Year Closeouts \$23,275 \$12,25 \$54,000 \$51,000 \$51,000 \$51,000 \$51,000 \$51,000 \$51,000 \$51,000 \$51,000 \$51,000 \$51,000 \$51,000 \$51,000 \$51,000 \$51,000 \$52,500 \$52,502 \$18,750 \$375,000 \$52,502 \$18,750 \$375,000 \$52,502 \$18,750 \$52,525 \$12,500 \$25,000 \$51,000 \$51,000 \$51,875 \$52,525 \$12,500 \$25,000 \$51,000 \$51,700 \$51,750 \$51,250 \$25,000 C Data Collection, Compilation and/or Analysis \$31,500 \$90,600 \$51,000 \$51,700 \$53,700 \$51,250 \$25,000 \$57,900 \$51,790 \$51,250 \$52,000 | _ | Category | FHWA | FTA | FTA % | Total Federal | Total Non- Federal | | • | Total |
| B: Professional Services \$2,200 \$00 \$9% \$2,200 \$156 \$3,122 C) Public Participation \$42,750 \$52,00 \$516,000 \$11,250 \$84,38 \$52,313 \$56,250 \$55,500 \$3,125 \$55,520 \$55,5200 \$51,550 \$3,125 \$55,5200 \$52,500 <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>#101.000</td> <td></td> <td>200/</td> <td>****</td> <td>* * * * * *</td> <td>¢ 10, 10,5</td> <td></td> <td>**</td> | - | | #101.000 | | 2 00/ | *** * | * * * * * * | ¢ 10, 10,5 | | ** |
| C bits Participation \$42,750 \$52,500 \$54,500 \$82,813 \$56,25 D UPWP Previous Year Closed \$23,75 \$125 \$56, 55 \$20,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,700 \$12,20 \$25,000 \$5,000 \$5,700 \$5,750 \$12,50 \$25,000 \$5,000 \$5,700 \$5,750 \$12,50 \$25,000 \$5,000 \$5,700 \$5,750 \$12,50 \$25,000 \$5,700 \$5,750< | - | | · · · | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | <i>.</i> | · · · · · · |
| D. UPW Provins Year Closeouts \$2,375 \$125 \$54 \$2,000 \$5,00 \$5 | - | | | | | <i>.</i> | | | | 2 |
| E UPW Maintenance and Development \$1000 51,000 53,000 \$3,750 \$1,250 \$25,000 Total Program Administration and Support \$250,625 \$49,375 16% \$300,000 \$55,000 \$56,200 \$18,750 \$37,000 \$56,200 \$18,750 \$37,000 \$56,200 \$18,750 \$37,000 \$56,200 \$18,750 \$52,525 \$12,500 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$52,500 \$52,500 \$52,500 \$52,500 \$52,500 \$52,500 \$52,500 \$52,500 \$52,500 \$52,525 \$51,500 \$52,500 \$52,525 \$51,500 \$52,500 \$52,525 \$51,500 \$52,500 \$52,525 \$51,500 \$52,500 \$52,525 \$51,500 \$52,500 \$52,525 \$51,500 \$52,500 \$52,525 \$51,500 \$52,525 \$51,500 \$52,525 \$51,500 \$52,525 \$51,500 \$52,525 \$51,500 \$52,525 \$51,500 \$51,500 \$51,500 \$51,500 <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>· · · ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><i>(</i></td> <td>,</td> <td>÷</td> <td>,</td> <td>,</td> | | • | · · · · | | | <i>(</i> | , | ÷ | , | , |
| Total Program Administration and Support \$22,0.625 \$49,375 16% \$300,000 \$75,000 \$56,250 \$18,750 2. Short-Range Transportation Planning 50 51,260 52,100 52,100 53,700 51,250 52,500 51,250 52,500 52,120 52,500 53,130 50 50 53,730 51,250 52,500 53,130 50 50 53,130 50 50 53,130 50 50 53,130 50 50 53,130 51,600 50 50 53,130 51,250 53,130 51,250 53,125 51,250 53,125 51,250 53,125 51,250 53,130 | - | | · · · · | | | , | | | | |
| 2. Inter-Range Transportation Planning Image: State Compilation and/or Analysis S0 S1.00 S1.000 S1.000 S1.000 S1.000 S1.000 S1.000 S1.200 S1.20 | E. | | | , | | · · · · · · | | <i>,</i> | . , | |
| A Activity Tracking System S0 S12,500 S12,500 S12,570 S12,500 S12,570 S12,500 S12,570 S12,500 S23,700 S12,570 < | | | \$250,625 | \$49,375 | 16% | \$300,000 | \$75,000 | \$56,250 | \$18,750 | \$375,000 |
| B Census Data Compilation and/or Analysis \$9,000 \$1,000 \$10,000 \$2,200 \$1,875 \$6,255 \$12,200 C Data Collection, Compilation and/or Analysis \$31,000 \$30,000 \$65,000 \$8,750 \$1,250 \$22,000 \$5,000 \$37,700 \$1,250 \$22,000 \$5,000 \$37,500 \$1,250 \$22,000 \$5,000 \$37,500 \$1,250 \$22,000 \$5,000 \$37,500 \$1,250 \$22,000 \$5,000 \$37,500 \$1,250 \$23,000 \$1,000 \$20,000 \$5,000 \$37,500 \$1,250 \$21,250 \$1,250 \$21,250 \$1,250 \$21,250 \$1,250 \$21,250 \$1,250 \$21,250 \$1,250 \$21,250 \$1,250 \$22,000 \$20,000 \$5,000 \$3,750 \$1,250 \$22,500 \$1,250 \$22,500 \$1,250 \$22,500 \$1,250 \$22,500 \$1,250 \$22,500 \$1,250 \$22,500 \$1,250 \$22,500 \$1,250 \$22,500 \$1,250 \$22,500 \$1,250 \$25,100 \$1,250 \$25,100 <td></td> | | | | | | | | | | |
| C. Data Collection, Compilation and/or Analysis \$31,500 \$33,500 \$38,500 \$88,750 \$56,53 \$2,188 \$43,750 D. Functional Classification System Review \$20,000 \$5000 \$5,000 \$5,700 \$1,250 \$25,000 Total Short-Range Transportation Planning \$76,500 \$88,500 \$20,000 \$5,000 \$2,700 \$15,938 \$53,313 \$166,250 A ir Quality, Conformity & Energy \$24,000 \$6,000 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$37,50 \$1,250 \$25,000 B. Air & Water Planning \$10,000 \$20 \$30,000 \$7,500 \$56,25 \$1,875 \$37,500 D. Bicycle & Pedestrian Planning \$17,000 \$30,000 \$500 \$50 \$5 \$25,500 \$25,700 \$5,755 \$12,550 \$25,000 \$5,755 \$12,550 \$25,000 \$5,000 \$3,750 \$1,250 \$25,000 \$5,000 \$3,750 \$1,250 \$25,000 \$1,250 \$25,000 \$1,251,255 \$10,250 \$1,250 \$25,000 \$1,250 \$25,755 \$15,255 | А. | Activity Tracking System | | | 0% | | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| D. Functional Classification System Review \$20,000 \$50,000 \$53,750 \$1,250 \$25,000 E. Geographic Information Systems \$16,000 \$40,000 \$20% \$50,000 \$53,750 \$1,250 \$52,000 Total Short-Range Transportation Planning \$66,000 \$20% \$50,000 \$57,500 \$55,233 \$51,313 \$10,620 A. Air Quality, Conformity & Energy \$24,000 \$60,000 \$20% \$30,000 \$57,500 \$51,875 \$33,750 B. Air & Water Planning \$10,000 \$50 \$60 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$11,875 \$625 \$12,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$52,000 \$51,000 \$50,000 \$51,000 \$50,000 \$51,000 \$50,000 \$51,250 \$52,000 \$51,250 \$52,000 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$52,000 \$51,070 \$51,875 \$51,250 \$51,020 \$60,500 \$51,250 \$51,020 \$60,500 \$51,250 \$51,020 \$60,500 \$51,250 \$51,020 \$51,070 \$ | В. | | \$9,000 | \$1,000 | 10% | \$10,000 | \$2,500 | \$1,875 | \$625 | \$12,500 |
| E. Geographic Information Systems \$16,000 \$4,000 20% \$20,000 \$5,000 \$3,750 \$1,250 \$25,000 Total Short-Range Transportation Planning 776,500 \$88,000 20% \$50,000 \$57,500 \$50,250 \$1,875 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$52,500 \$51,250 \$50,000 \$51,250 \$50,000 \$51,250 \$50,000 \$51,250 \$50,000 \$51,250 \$50,000 \$51,250 | C. | Data Collection, Compilation and/or Analysis | \$31,500 | \$3,500 | 10% | \$35,000 | \$8,750 | \$6,563 | \$2,188 | \$43,750 |
| Total Short-Range Transportation Planning \$76,500 \$88,500 \$21,250 \$15,938 \$5,313 \$106,254 3. Long-Range Transportation Planning 0 | D. | Functional Classification System Review | \$20,000 | | | \$20,000 | \$5,000 | \$3,750 | \$1,250 | \$25,000 |
| J. Iong-Range Transportation Planning Iong-Range Transportation Planning S24,000 S20,000 S20,000 S30,000 S57,500 S56,25 S1,87,50 S37,500 B Air & Water Planning S10,000 S00 0% S10,000 S20,000 S10,000 S50,000 S51,000 S52,000 S18,3750 S12,500 B Bicycle & Pedestrian Planning S17,000 S30,000 15% S20,000 S50,000 S51,250 S12,500 B Bicycle & Padestrian Planning S20,000 S00 0% S20,000 S51,250 S12,500 C Environmental Justice Analysis S94,500 S10,500 10% S10,500 S12,500 S13,125 S12,125 S13,125 I Lakefront Arca Planning S40,000 S10,000 22% S50,000 S11,250 S37,50 S12,50 S31,33 S62,52 I Long-Range Transportation Plan S45,000 S10,000 S20,000 S11,250 S37,50 S21,33 S33,33 S62,52 I Long-Range Transportation Plan S45,000 S10,000 < | E. | | \$16,000 | \$4,000 | 20% | \$20,000 | \$5,000 | \$3,750 | \$1,250 | \$25,000 |
| A ir Quality, Conformity & Energy \$24,000 \$6,000 20% \$30,000 \$7,500 \$5,625 \$1,875 \$37,500 B Air & Water Planning \$10,000 \$0 0% \$10,000 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$5625 \$12,250 D Bicycle & Pedestrian Planning \$17,000 \$3,000 15% \$20,000 \$5,000 \$51,750 \$1,250 \$25,000 C Bicycle & Pedestrian Planning \$20,000 \$0 0% \$20,000 \$51,000 \$51,750 \$1,250 \$25,000 C Congestion Management System \$20,000 \$0 0% \$20,000 \$51,000 \$51,550 \$1,250 \$21,250 \$25,000 \$51,375 \$51,25 \$10,250 \$13,375 \$51,25 \$10,250 \$13,375 \$51,25 \$10,250 \$13,375 \$51,250 \$10,350 \$1,250 \$31,38 \$6,25 \$11,250 \$31,375 \$52,25 \$10,250 \$13,85 \$52,000 \$11,250 \$31,350 \$52,000 \$11,250 \$31,350 \$52,000 \$11,250 \$31,350 \$52,000 \$11,250 \$31,350 \$52,000 \$11,250 \$33,750 \$52,813 \$ | | Total Short-Range Transportation Planning | \$76,500 | \$8,500 | 10% | \$85,000 | \$21,250 | \$15,938 | \$5,313 | \$106,250 |
| B. Air & Water Planning \$10,000 \$00 \$0% \$10,000 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$625 \$12,500 C. Bicycle & Pedestrian Planning \$17,000 \$30,000 \$15% \$52,000 \$50,000 \$37,50 \$12,500 \$50,000 \$37,50 \$12,520 \$25,000 E. Bridge & Pavement Condition Management System \$20,000 \$50,000 \$51,000 \$31,750 \$12,520 \$25,000 G. Environmental Justice Analysis \$9,450 \$10,050 \$2,625 \$1,949 \$65.66 \$13,122 I. I-90 Corridor Planning Study \$5,000 \$1,250 \$938 \$313 \$6,252 J. Long-Range Transportation Plan \$45,000 \$10,000 \$2,500 \$11,875 \$625 \$17,500 K. Northern MPA Planning \$9,000 \$11,000 \$2,500 \$11,875 \$625 \$12,50 \$338 \$313 \$6,257 J. Long-Range Transportation Plan \$4,750 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$622 \$12,50 \$31,875< | 3. | Long-Range Transportation Planning | | | | | | | | |
| C. Bicycle & Pedestrian Planning \$0 \$0 \$00 </td <td>А.</td> <td>Air Quality, Conformity & Energy</td> <td>\$24,000</td> <td>\$6,000</td> <td>20%</td> <td>\$30,000</td> <td>\$7,500</td> <td>\$5,625</td> <td>\$1,875</td> <td>\$37,500</td> | А. | Air Quality, Conformity & Energy | \$24,000 | \$6,000 | 20% | \$30,000 | \$7,500 | \$5,625 | \$1,875 | \$37,500 |
| D Bicycle & Pedestrian Planning \$17,000 \$3,000 15% \$20,000 \$5,000 \$3,750 \$1,250 \$25,000 E Bridge & Pavement Condition Management System \$20,000 \$00 %82,000 \$50,000 \$51,375 \$51,250 \$25,000 F. Congestion Management System \$82,000 \$00% \$82,000 \$20,500 \$51,375 \$51,25 \$102,500 G. Environmental Justice Analysis \$9,450 \$1,050 00% \$50,000 \$12,50 \$938 \$313 \$62,551 I. LageRange Transportation Plan \$45,000 \$1,000 20% \$50,000 \$11,250 \$33,750 \$75,000 K. Northern MPA Planning \$9,000 \$1,000 25% \$60,000 \$15,000 \$31,750 \$25,00 \$1,250 \$33,750 \$75,000 L. Operations & Integration \$4,750 \$250 \$5% \$5,000 \$1,250 \$33,750 \$75,000 N. Rait, Truck & Transit Planning \$0 \$10,000 \$32,500 \$11,250 \$34,83 \$818,750 | B. | Air & Water Planning | \$10,000 | \$0 | 0% | \$10,000 | \$2,500 | \$1,875 | \$625 | \$12,500 |
| E Bridge & Pavement Condition Management System \$20,000 \$50 0% \$20,000 \$51,000 \$51,250 \$52,500 F. Congestion Management System \$82,000 \$00 \$82,000 \$20,500 \$13,375 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$51,250 \$53,135 \$51,250 \$53,135 \$56,251 \$11,250 \$53,750 \$51,250 \$938 \$313 \$62,251 I. Lakefront Area Planning \$4,000 \$1,000 20% \$5,000 \$11,250 \$33,750 \$51,250 \$53,750 \$51,250 \$53,750 \$51,250 \$53,750 \$51,250 \$53,750 \$51,250 \$53,750 \$51,250 \$54,250 \$53,750 \$51,250 \$51,500 \$11,250 \$3,750 \$52,500 \$51,000 \$11,250 \$3,750 \$51,250 \$54,250 \$51,000 \$11,250 \$3,750 \$52,500 \$51,000 \$10,000 \$52,500 \$51,000 \$11,250 \$3,750 \$52,513 \$51,250 Marcher MPA Planning \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 <td< td=""><td>C.</td><td>Bicycle & Pedestrian Plan</td><td>\$0</td><td>\$0</td><td>0%</td><td>\$0</td><td>\$0</td><td>\$0</td><td>\$0</td><td>\$0</td></td<> | C. | Bicycle & Pedestrian Plan | \$0 | \$0 | 0% | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| F. Congestion Management System S82,000 \$0 % S82,000 \$20,500 \$15,375 \$5,125 \$102,500 G. Environmental Justice Analysis \$9,450 \$1,050 \$22,625 \$1,969 \$656 \$13,127 H. I-90 Corridor Planning \$5,000 \$1,000 \$20,500 \$11,250 \$938 \$313 \$6,257 J. Lakefront Area Planning \$4,000 \$10,000 \$250 \$11,250 \$3,750 \$75,000 K. Northern MPA Planning \$4,750 \$22,50 \$11,250 \$3,750 \$57,000 K. Northern MPA Planning \$4,750 \$22,50 \$51,000 \$11,250 \$3,750 \$52,000 M. Rait, Truck & Transit Planning \$0 \$15,000 100% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 M. Safety Improvement Analysis \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 <t< td=""><td>D.</td><td>Bicycle & Pedestrian Planning</td><td>\$17,000</td><td>\$3,000</td><td>15%</td><td>\$20,000</td><td>\$5,000</td><td>\$3,750</td><td>\$1,250</td><td>\$25,000</td></t<> | D. | Bicycle & Pedestrian Planning | \$17,000 | \$3,000 | 15% | \$20,000 | \$5,000 | \$3,750 | \$1,250 | \$25,000 |
| F. Congestion Management System \$82,000 \$0 % \$82,000 \$20,500 \$15,375 \$5,125 \$102,500 G. Environmental Justice Analysis \$9,450 \$1,050 \$22,625 \$1,969 \$6566 \$13,121 H. F90 Corridor Planning \$5,000 \$1,020 \$0% \$5,000 \$1,250 \$938 \$313 \$6,250 J. Lackerford Area Planning \$4,000 \$1,000 \$2% \$60,000 \$11,250 \$3,750 \$75,000 K. Northern MPA Planning \$9,000 \$10,000 \$25% \$5,000 \$11,250 \$3,750 \$52,500 M. Rail, Truck & Transit Planning \$0 \$15,000 \$10,000 \$2,500 \$11,250 \$938 \$11,250 M. Rail, Truck & Transit Planning \$0 \$15,000 \$10,000 \$2,500 \$11,250 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$948 \$18,750 M. Safety Improvement Analysis \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$12,500 | E. | Bridge & Pavement Condition Management System | \$20,000 | \$0 | 0% | \$20,000 | \$5,000 | \$3,750 | \$1,250 | \$25,000 |
| G. Environmental Justice Analysis \$9,450 \$1,050 10% \$10,500 \$2,625 \$1,969 \$656 \$11,12 H. I-90 Corridor Planning Study \$5,000 \$5,000 \$11,250 \$938 \$313 \$6,250 I. Lakefront Area Planning \$4,000 \$11,000 \$25,000 \$11,250 \$938 \$313 \$6,250 J. Long-Range Transportation Plan \$40,000 \$11,000 \$2,500 \$11,875 \$662 \$12,500 L. Operations & Integration \$47,50 \$2,500 \$10,000 \$2,500 \$11,875 \$662 \$12,500 N. Safety Improvement Analysis \$0 \$10,000 \$15,000 \$13,750 \$500 \$0 | F. | Congestion Management System | \$82,000 | \$0 | 0% | \$82,000 | \$20,500 | \$15,375 | \$5,125 | \$102,500 |
| 1. Lakefront Area Planning \$4,000 \$1,000 20% \$5,000 \$1,250 \$938 \$313 \$6,250 J. Long-Range Transportation Plan \$45,000 \$15,000 25% \$60,000 \$11,250 \$3,750 \$75,000 K. Northern MPA Planning \$9,000 \$10,000 10% \$10,000 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$6625 \$12,500 L. Operations & Integration \$47,750 \$2250 5% \$5,000 \$1,200 \$2,813 \$938 \$8137 \$6625 N. Rail, Truck & Transit Planning \$0 \$15,000 100% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 N. Safety Improvement Analysis \$0 \$10 \$0% \$0 | G. | Environmental Justice Analysis | \$9,450 | \$1,050 | 10% | \$10,500 | \$2,625 | \$1,969 | | \$13,125 |
| J. Long-Range Transportation Plan \$45,000 \$15,000 \$15,000 \$11,250 \$3,750 \$75,000 K. Northern MPA Planning \$9,000 \$10,000 10% \$10,000 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$625 \$12,500 L. Operations & Integration \$4,750 \$250 \$% \$5,000 \$1,250 \$938 \$313 \$6,250 M. Rail, Truck & Transit Planning \$0 \$15,000 100% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 N. Safety Improvement Analysis \$0 <td< td=""><td>H.</td><td>I-90 Corridor Planning Study</td><td>\$5,000</td><td>\$0</td><td>0%</td><td>\$5,000</td><td>\$1,250</td><td>\$938</td><td>\$313</td><td>\$6,250</td></td<> | H. | I-90 Corridor Planning Study | \$5,000 | \$0 | 0% | \$5,000 | \$1,250 | \$938 | \$313 | \$6,250 |
| J. Long-Range Transportation Plan \$45,000 \$15,000 25% \$60,000 \$11,250 \$3,750 \$75,000 K. Northern MPA Planning \$9,000 \$10,000 10% \$10,000 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$625 \$12,500 L. Operations & Integration \$4,750 \$250 \$% \$5,000 \$1,250 \$938 \$313 \$6,257 M. Rail, Truck & Transit Planning \$0 \$15,000 100% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 N. Safety Improvement Analysis \$0 \$0 0% \$0 <t< td=""><td>I.</td><td>Lakefront Area Planning</td><td>\$4,000</td><td>\$1,000</td><td>20%</td><td>\$5,000</td><td>\$1,250</td><td>\$938</td><td>\$313</td><td>\$6,250</td></t<> | I. | Lakefront Area Planning | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | 20% | \$5,000 | \$1,250 | \$938 | \$313 | \$6,250 |
| K. Northern MPA Planning \$9,000 \$1,000 \$10,000 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$625 \$12,500 I. Operations & Integration \$4,750 \$250 5% \$5,000 \$1,250 \$938 \$313 \$62,525 M. Rail, Truck & Transit Planning \$0 \$15,000 100% \$15,000 \$37,50 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 N. Safety Improvement Analysis \$0 \$ | | | \$45,000 | \$15,000 | 25% | , | | \$11,250 | | \$75,000 |
| L. Operations & Integration \$4,750 \$250 5% \$5,000 \$1,250 \$938 \$313 \$6,250 M. Rail, Truck & Transit Planning \$00 \$15,000 100% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 N. Safety Improvement Analysis \$00 | К. | | \$9,000 | | | , | <i>,</i> | ÷ | , | <i>,</i> |
| M. Rail, Truck & Transit Planning \$0 \$15,000 100% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 N. Safety Improvement Analysis \$0 | | | \$4,750 | , | 5% | , | <i>,</i> | <i>,</i> | | \$6,250 |
| N. Safety Improvement Analysis S0 S0 <t< td=""><td>M.</td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td>· · ·</td><td></td><td></td><td><i>.</i></td><td><i>.</i></td><td></td><td></td><td>\$18,750</td></t<> | M. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · | | | <i>.</i> | <i>.</i> | | | \$18,750 |
| O. Title VI Report \$0 \$ | - | | | | | <i>.</i> | , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | \$0 |
| P. Transportation-Land Use Educational Outreach \$9,500 \$500 \$500 \$500 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$625 \$12,500 Q. Travel Demand Model (See Note 1) \$225,500 \$4,500 15% \$30,000 \$7,500 \$5,625 \$1,875 \$37,500 R. University Hill Transportation Study \$154,000 \$38,500 20% \$192,500 \$44,125 \$36,094 \$12,031 \$240,622 S. Clay/Cicero Route 31 Transportation Study (See Note 2) \$42,750 \$2,250 5% \$45,000 \$11,250 \$8,438 \$2,813 \$56,256 T. CSX Intermodal Transportation Study \$78,375 \$4,125 5% \$82,500 \$20,625 \$15,469 \$5,156 \$103,122 U. F-M Road/Route 257 Sidewalk Feasibility Study \$40,000 \$00 % \$40,000 \$10,000 \$7,500 \$2,500 \$50,000 V. Traffic Safety \$9,500 \$500 5% \$10,000 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$625 \$12,500 Waste Collection Route Optimization Study \$40,000 \$00 % 40,000 \$2,500 \$135,469 \$45,1 | - | | | | | | | | | \$0 |
| Q. Travel Demand Model (See Note 1) \$22,500 \$4,500 15% \$30,000 \$7,500 \$5,625 \$1,875 \$37,500 R. University Hill Transportation Study \$154,000 \$38,500 20% \$192,500 \$48,125 \$36,094 \$12,031 \$240,625 S. Clay/Cicero Route 31 Transportation Study (See Note 2) \$42,750 \$2,250 \$% \$45,000 \$11,250 \$8,438 \$2,813 \$56,250 T. CSX Intermodal Transportation Study \$78,375 \$4,125 5% \$82,500 \$20,625 \$15,469 \$5,156 \$103,125 U. F-M Road/Route 257 Sidewalk Feasibility Study \$40,000 \$00% \$40,000 \$10,000 \$7,500 \$2,500 \$50,000 V. Traffic Safety \$9,500 \$500 \$% \$10,000 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$625 \$12,500 W. Waste Collection Route Optimization Study \$40,000 \$0% 40,000 2,500 \$180,625 \$135,469 \$45,156 \$903,125 4. Transportation Improvement Program \$13,500 \$1,500 10% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 5. Other Activities | - | • | | | | | 4 1 | | | |
| R. University Hill Transportation Study \$154,000 \$38,500 20% \$192,500 \$48,125 \$36,094 \$12,031 \$240,625 S. Clay/Cicero Route 31 Transportation Study (See Note 2) \$42,750 \$2,250 5% \$45,000 \$11,250 \$8,438 \$2,813 \$56,250 T. CSX Intermodal Transportation Study \$78,375 \$4,125 5% \$82,500 \$20,625 \$15,469 \$5,156 \$103,125 U. F-M Road/Route 257 Sidewalk Feasibility Study \$40,000 \$00% \$40,000 \$10,000 \$7,500 \$2,500 \$50,000 V. Traffic Safety \$9,500 \$500 \$% \$10,000 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$625 \$12,500 W. Waste Collection Route Optimization Study \$40,000 \$00% \$40,000 2,500 7,500 \$50,000 Total Long-Range Transportation Planning \$629,825 \$92,675 13% \$722,500 \$180,625 \$135,469 \$45,156 \$903,125 4. Transportation Improvement Program \$13,500 \$1,500 10% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 | | * | · · · | | | · · · · · · | , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| S. Clay/Cicero Route 31 Transportation Study (See Note 2) \$42,750 \$2,250 5% \$45,000 \$11,250 \$8,438 \$2,813 \$56,250 T. CSX Intermodal Transportation Study \$78,375 \$4,125 5% \$82,500 \$20,625 \$15,469 \$5,156 \$103,125 U. F-M Road/Route 257 Sidewalk Feasibility Study \$40,000 \$0 % 440,000 \$10,000 \$7,500 \$2,500 \$50,000 V. Traffic Safety \$9,500 \$500 \$% \$10,000 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$625 \$12,500 W. Waste Collection Route Optimization Study \$40,000 \$0 \$40,000 2,500 7,500 \$2,500 \$50,000 Total Long-Range Transportation Planning \$629,825 \$92,675 13% \$722,500 \$180,625 \$135,469 \$45,156 \$903,125 4. Transportation Improvement Program \$13,500 \$1,500 10% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 5. Other Activities Special Technical Assistance \$10,257 \$1,660 14% \$11,917 \$2,979 \$2,234 <td< td=""><td>~</td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td><i>,</i></td><td></td><td><i>.</i></td><td></td></td<> | ~ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | <i>,</i> | | <i>.</i> | |
| T. CSX Intermodal Transportation Study \$78,375 \$4,125 5% \$82,500 \$20,625 \$15,469 \$5,156 \$103,122 U. F-M Road/Route 257 Sidewalk Feasibility Study \$40,000 \$0% \$40,000 \$10,000 \$7,500 \$2,500 \$50,000 V. Traffic Safety \$9,500 \$500 5% \$10,000 \$2,500 \$18,875 \$625 \$12,500 W. Waste Collection Route Optimization Study \$40,000 \$0% 40,000 2,500 7,500 2,500 \$50,000 Total Long-Range Transportation Planning \$629,825 \$92,675 13% \$722,500 \$180,625 \$135,469 \$45,156 \$903,125 4. Transportation Improvement Program \$13,500 \$1,500 10% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 5. Other Activities Special Technical Assistance \$10,257 \$1,660 14% \$11,917 \$2,979 \$2,234 \$745 \$14,890 6. Grand Total \$980,707 \$153,710 14% \$11,34,417 \$283,604 \$212,703 \$70,902 < | | | · · · · | | | . , | <i>.</i> | | | |
| U. F-M Road/Route 257 Sidewalk Feasibility Study \$40,000 \$0% \$40,000 \$10,000 \$7,500 \$2,500 \$50,000 V. Traffic Safety \$9,500 \$500 \$% \$10,000 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$625 \$12,500 W. Waste Collection Route Optimization Study \$40,000 \$0% 40,000 2,500 7,500 \$2,500 \$50,000 Total Long-Range Transportation Planning \$629,825 \$92,675 13% \$722,500 \$180,625 \$135,469 \$45,156 \$903,125 4. Transportation Improvement Program \$13,500 \$1,500 10% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 Total Transportation Improvement Program \$13,500 \$1,500 10% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 Total Transportation Improvement Program \$13,500 \$1,500 10% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 5. Other Activities Special Technical Assistance \$10,257 \$1,660 14% \$11,917 \$2,979 \$2,234 \$745 \$14,890 | | | · · · · | | | , | | | | |
| V. Traffic Safety \$9,500 \$500 \$5% \$10,000 \$2,500 \$1,875 \$625 \$12,500 W. Waste Collection Route Optimization Study \$40,000 \$0% 40,000 2,500 7,500 2,500 \$50,000 Total Long-Range Transportation Planning \$629,825 \$92,675 13% \$722,500 \$180,625 \$135,469 \$44,156 \$903,125 4. Transportation Improvement Program \$13,500 \$1,500 10% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 Total Transportation Improvement Program \$13,500 \$1,500 10% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 5. Other Activities Special Technical Assistance \$10,257 \$1,660 14% \$11,917 \$2,979 \$2,234 \$745 \$14,890 6. Grand Total \$980,707 \$153,710 14% \$11,34,417 \$283,604 \$212,703 \$70,902 \$14,802 | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | <i>.</i> | . , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | <i>.</i> | |
| W. Waste Collection Route Optimization Study \$40,000 \$00% 40,000 2,500 7,500 2,500 \$50,000 Total Long-Range Transportation Planning \$629,825 \$92,675 13% \$722,500 \$180,625 \$135,469 \$44,156 \$903,125 4. Transportation Improvement Program \$903,125 4. Transportation Improvement Program \$13,500 \$1,500 \$10% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 5. Other Activities Special Technical Assistance \$10,257 \$1,660 14% \$11,917 \$2,979 \$2,234 \$745 \$14,890 6. Grand Total \$980,707 \$153,710 14% \$1,134,417 \$283,604 \$212,703 \$70,902 \$1,418,021 | | | | | | | <i>,</i> | | <i>.</i> | |
| Total Long-Range Transportation Planning \$629,825 \$92,675 13% \$722,500 \$180,625 \$135,469 \$45,156 \$903,125 4. Transportation Improvement Program | | | | | | . , | <i>,</i> | ÷ | | , |
| 4. Transportation Improvement Program Image: state st | | · · · · · · | | | | , | | | | |
| A. TIP Maintenance & Development \$13,500 \$1,500 10% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 Total Transportation Improvement Program \$13,500 \$1,500 10% \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 5. Other Activities \$10,257 \$1,660 14% \$11,917 \$2,979 \$2,234 \$745 \$14,890 Grand Total \$980,707 \$153,710 14% \$1,134,417 \$283,604 \$212,703 \$70,902 \$1,418,021 | 4 | | 027,023 | \$7 2 ,073 | 15 /0 | \$7 22 ,300 | \$100,023 | \$100,407 | φ 1 0,100 | \$705,125 |
| Total Transportation Improvement Program \$13,500 \$1,500 \$15,000 \$3,750 \$2,813 \$938 \$18,750 5. Other Activities | | | \$13 500 | \$1.500 | 10% | \$15 000 | \$3 750 | \$2.813 | \$938 | \$18 750 |
| 5. Other Activities Image: Stress of the st | | | | , , | | <i>.</i> | | , , | | |
| A. Miscellaneous Activities & Special Technical Assistance \$10,257 \$1,660 14% \$11,917 \$2,979 \$2,234 \$745 \$14,890 Total Other Activities \$10,257 \$1,660 9% \$11,917 \$2,979 \$2,234 \$745 \$14,890 Grand Total \$9980,707 \$153,710 14% \$11,34,417 \$283,604 \$212,703 \$70,902 \$1,418,021 | 5 | | \$10,000 | \$1,500 | 1070 | \$10,000 | φ 0 ,750 | ¢ 2 ,015 | \$200 | \$10,750 |
| Total Other Activities \$10,257 \$1,660 9% \$11,917 \$2,979 \$2,234 \$745 \$14,890 Grand Total \$980,707 \$153,710 14% \$1,134,417 \$283,604 \$212,703 \$70,902 \$1,418,021 | | | \$10 257 | \$1.660 | 14% | \$11 917 | \$2,979 | \$2 234 | \$745 | \$14 896 |
| Grand Total \$980,707 \$153,710 14% \$1,134,417 \$283,604 \$212,703 \$70,902 \$1,418,021 | | 1 | , , | , , | | , | 2 | · · · | | · · · · · · |
| | | | | · · · · · · | | | <i>.</i> | | | |
| | | orana rotar | | · · · · · · | 17/0 | \$1,1 3 7,17 | \$ 405,004 | Φ 212 ,703 | \$70,70Z | ψ1, 1 10,021 |

Note 1: A total of \$400,000 of Federal Highway Administrations Transportation and Community and System Preservation Program (TCSPP) funds were dedicated for this project during the 2002-2003 UPWP for consultant expenses. The \$400,000 is 100% federal and requires no local match and has therefore not been included in the budget tables. The estimated \$30,000 included within the budget tables represents the FHWA/FTA funding to cover staff expenses associated with the project.

Note 2: There is a total of \$30,000 of local municipal funding being provided for this project, \$15,000 to be provided during the 2005-2006 program year and \$15,000 to be provided at the close of the project during the 2006-2007 program year. These supplemental funds do not require a local match and have therefore not been included in the budget tables.

TABLE 2 2005 - 2006 SUMMARY BUDGET FEDERAL PROGRAM ONLY

| | TASK BUDGET | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| | TASK | | FU | INDING SOUI | RCE | | RESPONSIBILITY | | | | |
| ID | Task | FHWA | FTA | State | County | Total | Staff | CNY RPDB | State | County | Total |
| 44.21.00 | Program Administration and Support | \$250,625 | \$49,375 | \$56,250 | \$18,750 | \$375,000 | \$250,000 | \$50,000 | \$56,250 | \$18,750 | \$375,000 |
| 44.24.00 | Short-Range Transportation Planning | \$76,500 | \$8,500 | \$15,938 | \$5,313 | \$106,250 | \$85,000 | \$0 | \$15,938 | \$5,313 | \$106,250 |
| 44.23.02 | Long-Range Transportation Planning | \$629,825 | \$92,675 | \$135,469 | \$45,156 | \$903,125 | \$722,500 | \$0 | \$135,469 | \$45,156 | \$903,125 |
| 44.25.00 | Transportation Improvement Program | \$13,500 | \$1,500 | \$2,813 | \$938 | \$18,750 | \$15,000 | \$0 | \$2,813 | \$938 | \$18,750 |
| 44.27.00 | Other Activities | \$10,257 | \$1,660 | \$2,234 | \$745 | \$14,896 | \$11,917 | \$0 | \$2,234 | \$745 | \$14,896 |
| | Total | \$980,707 | \$153,710 | \$212,703 | \$70,902 | \$1,418,021 | \$1,084,417 | \$50,000 | \$212,703 | \$70,902 | \$1,418,021 |
| | | \$1,134 | 4,417 | | | | \$1,134,417 \$283,604 | | ,604 | \$1,418,021 | |

| | | AUDIT BUD | GET | | | |
|----------|--------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| | | | | | | |
| ID | Category | Staff | CNY RPDB | State | County | Total |
| 44.20.01 | Salaries | \$418,568 | | \$97,631 | | \$516,199 |
| 44.20.02 | Fringe | \$154,348 | | \$29,991 | | \$184,340 |
| 44.20.03 | Travel | \$10,000 | | | | \$10,000 |
| 44.20.04 | Equipment | \$19,500 | | | | \$19,500 |
| 44.20.05 | Supplies | \$6,000 | | | | \$6,000 |
| 44.20.06 | Contractual | \$422,000 | \$50,000 | | \$70,902 | \$542,902 |
| 44.20.07 | Other | \$7,000 | | | | \$7,000 |
| 44.20.08 | Indirect | \$62,000 | | \$85,081 | | \$147,081 |
| | Total | \$1,099,417 | \$50,000 | \$212,703 | \$70,902 | \$1,433,021 |
| | | \$1,14 | 9,417 | \$283 | ,604 | \$1,433,021 |
| | Supplemental Local Funds | \$15 | ,000 | | | \$15,000 |
| | | \$1,13 | 4,417 | | | \$1,418,021 |

<u>TABLE 3</u> 2005 - 2006 SUMMARY BUDGET FHWA BUDGET

| | TASK BUDGET | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ID | Task | Total | FHWA | Staff | CNY RPDB | State | County | | |
| 44.21.00 | Program Administration and Support | \$313,281 | \$250,625 | \$210,000 | \$40,625 | \$46,992 | \$15,664 | | |
| 44.24.00 | Short-Range Transportation Planning | \$95,625 | \$76,500 | \$76,500 | | \$14,344 | \$4,781 | | |
| 44.23.02 | Long-Range Transportation Planning | \$787,281 | \$629,825 | \$628,575 | | \$118,092 | \$39,364 | | |
| 44.25.00 | Transportation Improvement Program | \$16,875 | \$13,500 | \$13,500 | | \$2,531 | \$844 | | |
| 44.27.00 | Other Activities | \$12,821 | \$10,257 | \$10,844 | | \$1,923 | \$641 | | |
| | Total | \$1,225,884 | \$980,707 | \$939,419 | \$40,625 | \$183,883 | \$61,294 | | |
| | Supplemental Local Funds | | | \$913 | | | | | |
| | | | | \$940,332 | \$40,625 | \$183,883 | \$61,294 | | |
| | | | | | \$1,22 | 6,134 | | | |

| | AUDIT BUDGET | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ID | Category | Total | Staff | CNY RPDB | State | County | | | |
| 44.20.01 | Personnel / Salaries | \$447,768 | \$363,365 | | \$84,402 | | | | |
| 44.20.02 | Fringe | \$159,920 | \$133,992 | | \$25,927 | | | | |
| 44.20.03 | Travel | \$8,681 | \$8,681 | | | | | | |
| 44.20.04 | Equipment | \$16,928 | \$16,928 | | | | | | |
| 44.20.05 | Supplies | \$5,209 | \$5,209 | | | | | | |
| 44.20.06 | Contractual | \$468,263 | \$366,344 | \$40,625 | | \$61,294 | | | |
| 44.20.07 | Other | \$6,077 | \$6,077 | | | | | | |
| 44.20.08 | Indirect | \$127,376 | \$53,823 | | \$73,553 | | | | |
| | Total | \$1,240,222 | \$954,419 | \$40,625 | \$183,883 | \$61,294 | | | |
| | Supplemental Local Funds | \$14,087 | \$14,087 | | | | | | |
| | | \$1,226,134 | \$940,332 | \$40,625 | \$183,883 | \$61,294 | | | |
| \$1,226,134 | | | | | | | | | |

TABLE 4 2005 - 2006 SUMMARY BUDGET FTA BUDGET

| | TASK BUDGET | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| ID | Task | Total | FTA | Staff | CNY RPDB | State | County | | | |
| 44.21.00 | Program Administration and Support | \$61,719 | \$49,375 | \$40,000 | \$9,375 | \$9,258 | \$3,086 | | | |
| 44.24.00 | Short-Range Transportation Planning | \$10,625 | \$8,500 | \$8,500 | | \$1,594 | \$531 | | | |
| 44.23.02 | Long-Range Transportation Planning | \$115,844 | \$92,675 | \$93,925 | | \$17,377 | \$5,792 | | | |
| 44.25.00 | Transportation Improvement Program | \$1,875 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | | \$281 | \$94 | | | |
| 44.27.00 | Other Activities | \$2,075 | \$1,660 | \$1,073 | | \$311 | \$104 | | | |
| | Total | \$192,137 | \$153,710 | \$144,998 | \$9,375 | \$28,821 | \$9,607 | | | |
| | Supplemental Local Funds | | | \$913 | | · | | | | |
| | | - | | \$144,085 | \$9,375 | \$28,821 | \$9,607 | | | |
| | | | | \$191,887 | | | | | | |

| | | AUDIT BUDG | ET | | | | |
|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| ID | Category | Total | Staff | CNY RPDB | State | County | |
| 44.20.01 | Salaries | \$68,432 | \$55,203 | | \$13,229 | | |
| 44.20.02 | Fringe | \$24,420 | \$20,356 | | \$4,064 | | |
| 44.20.03 | Travel | \$1,319 | \$1,319 | | | | |
| 44.20.04 | Equipment | \$2,572 | \$2,572 | | | | |
| 44.20.05 | Supplies | \$791 | \$791 | | | | |
| 44.20.06 | Contractual | \$74,638 | \$55,656 | \$9,375 | | \$9,607 | |
| 44.20.07 | Other | \$923 | \$923 | | | | |
| 44.20.08 | Indirect | \$19,705 | \$8,177 | | \$11,528 | | |
| | Total | \$192,800 | \$144,998 | \$9,375 | \$28,821 | \$9,607 | |
| | Supplemental Local Funds | \$913 | \$913 | | | | |
| | | \$191,887 | \$144,085 | \$9,375 | \$28,821 | \$9,607 | |
| | | | \$191,887 | | | | |

TABLE 5 2005 - 2006 SUMMARY BUDGET TOTAL FEDERAL BUDGETS

| | TASK BUDGET | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| ID | Task | Total Federal | Total | | | | | |
| 44.21.00 | Program Administration and Support | \$300,000 | \$375,000 | | | | | |
| 44.24.00 | Short-Range Transportation Planning | \$85,000 | \$106,250 | | | | | |
| 44.23.02 | Long-Range Transportation Planning | \$722,500 | \$903,125 | | | | | |
| 44.25.00 | Transportation Improvement Program | \$15,000 | \$18,750 | | | | | |
| 44.27.00 | Other Activities | \$11,917 | \$14,896 | | | | | |
| | Total | \$1,134,417 | \$1,418,021 | | | | | |

| AUDIT BUDGET | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| ID | Category | Total Federal | Total | | | | | |
| 44.20.01 | Personnel / Salaries | \$418,569 | \$516,200 | | | | | |
| 44.20.02 | Fringe | \$154,348 | \$184,340 | | | | | |
| 44.20.03 | Travel | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | | | | | |
| 44.20.04 | Equipment | \$19,500 | \$19,500 | | | | | |
| 44.20.05 | Supplies | \$6,000 | \$6,000 | | | | | |
| 44.20.06 | Contractual | \$472,000 | \$542,901 | | | | | |
| 44.20.07 | Other | \$7,000 | \$7,000 | | | | | |
| 44.20.08 | Indirect | \$62,000 | \$147,081 | | | | | |
| | Total | \$1,149,417 | \$1,433,021 | | | | | |
| | Supplemental Local Funds | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | | | | | |
| | | \$1,134,417 | \$1,418,021 | | | | | |

<u>TABLE 6</u> 2005 - 2006 SUMMARY BUDGET TOTAL AUDITABLE BUDGET

| AUDIT BUDGET | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| ID | Category | Total | Staff | CNY RPDB | State | County | | |
| 44.20.01 | Personnel / Salaries | \$516,199 | \$418,568 | | \$97,631 | | | |
| 44.20.02 | Fringe | \$184,340 | \$154,348 | | \$29,991 | | | |
| 44.20.03 | Travel | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | | | | | |
| 44.20.04 | Equipment | \$19,500 | \$19,500 | | | | | |
| 44.20.05 | Supplies | \$6,000 | \$6,000 | | | | | |
| 44.20.06 | Contractual | \$542,902 | \$422,000 | \$50,000 | | \$70,902 | | |
| 44.20.07 | Other | \$7,000 | \$7,000 | | | | | |
| 44.20.08 | Indirect | \$147,081 | \$62,000 | | \$85,081 | | | |
| | Total | \$1,433,021 | \$1,099,416 | \$50,000 | \$212,703 | \$70,902 | | |
| | Supplemental Local Funds | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | | | | | |
| | | \$1,418,021 | \$1,084,416 | \$50,000 | \$212,703 | \$70,902 | | |
| | | | \$1,418,021 | | | | | |